

SHEER BRILLIANCE: MORE ON CANCER
Rebecca L. Montrone, B.S. – Wondrous Roots, Inc.

Last time we talked about the lymphatic system and its key role in detoxification and building up the immune system. The principle behind bouncing on a trampoline, as described a couple of weeks ago, is exactly that. I promised you that this time I would tell you about a brilliant man, his microscope, his Somatidian theory, and his “wondrous” anti-cancer formula.

The man is Gaston Naessens, a biophysicist hailing from the south of France. I’m just talking here, mind you, off the top of my head. I am pretty well acquainted with the facts, but I will offer you general time periods rather than specific dates. Suffice to say, Naessens is an older guy now. He lives in Canada, but I’m getting ahead of myself. Some forty years or so ago he invented, based on observations of light in the particular geographical area of France that he was in, a microscope through which live blood can be observed and examined. Our electron microscopes only look at smears. Within the live blood he identified what he believes to be the precursor to DNA, and he called it a somatid.

When a person is in good health, the somatids are seen to go through a very beautiful and orderly three-phase process. When a person has a degenerative disease – such as cancer or multiple sclerosis, for example – the somatids instead go through a very disjointed and jumbled 16-phase process. And this will really blow you away: a simple blood test will reveal two years out from developing such a disease that things are going downhill... in plenty of time for you to get your act together, start eating right, stop smoking, whatever you need to do to tune up your overall health. Wouldn’t that be something? Boil the yearly physical down to a blood test? I know you’re skeptical... I can just feel it in the air as I type this. But just hang on and keep reading, okay? This is really fascinating.

Having invented the microscope and identified the somatid, he then went on to develop an intralymphatic treatment to reverse degenerative diseases, such as cancer, multiple sclerosis, even AIDS... Oh yes, I did say AIDS. Stay with me. He named this formula 714-X, and it consists of camphor to which a molecule of nitrogen has been added. Cancer cells love nitrogen, so cancer can proliferate briefly when treatment is begun. However, the solution disarms the cancer cells’ protection from the immune system, given as daily injections into the lymph nodes of the groin, the lymph is liquified and begins to flow as it should, and finally the person’s own immune system eventually overcomes the disease.

I first learned of Naessens and 714-X in the mid-nineties when a young woman who had lost both breasts to cancer handed me a copy of *Do No Harm*, a self-published book by a doctor name Charles Pixley in Rochester, New York. Pixley’s work is the best and most comprehensive treatment I have seen on Naessens, somatids, and 714-X. Pixley was about to begin clinical trials with 714-X himself. Eventually, the “powers that be” fixed that; his office was raided and he went to jail. But, again, I am getting ahead of myself. I always seem to do that!

When I found out about 714-X it had been around for twenty years! The first people who sought out the treatment were only patients given up for dead by mainstream medicine. They were post-surgery, post-chemo, post-radiation; “nothing to lose.” Even with such poor prognoses, the “cure” rate was around 75 percent! Finally, one of Naessens’ patients died – a woman who had had breast cancer and didn’t pull through, and Naessens was thrown into a dirty prison cell, charged with “practicing medicine without a license.”

Christopher Byrd wrote a book entitled *The Persecution and Trial of Gaston Naessens* surrounding his trial and ultimate vindication. In a nutshell, on the day the trial opened, the courtroom was packed with people testifying that Naessens had saved their lives. One man had had full-blown AIDS with the complication of Kaposi’s sarcoma and severe cachexia (weight loss related to the disease). At the trial he was back up to 185 lb, and described as in the “pink of health.” The case was eventually thrown out.

During the same time-frame, mid-nineties, Billy Best – a Massachusetts teen – ran away from home. He had leukemia and didn’t want to endure anymore chemotherapy. His family put out a nationwide appeal to him through the news media, and he eventually surfaced in Texas. The next thing we heard, he had gone to Canada. I remember commenting to a friend of mine that I bet he was doing 714-X. Six months or so later, she called me one morning. “Have you seen the front page of the Boston Globe?” I hadn’t. “You were right; Billy Best did 714-X. He’s back, and he’s cancer-free.”

Sometime before the Best incident, Sloan-Kettering had sent out a scout to meet with Naessens and learn about his work. The man who met Naessens was literally blown away and eager to begin clinical trials. When he returned to “the land of the free and the brave” with the exciting news, however, Naessens was immediately blackballed by the American Cancer Society, the American Medical Association, and the National Institutes of Health, and Sloan-Kettering never went forward with clinical trials. Everything was hush-hush, carefully kept under wraps, and Pixley finally landed in jail.

The good news right now is that – although Canadians cannot purchase 714-X – foreign citizens can purchase it directly from *Cerbe Distribution* in Canada. 714-X can be used in conjunction with chemotherapy and/or radiation if a person wishes to do that. It will ease the side-effects that come with those treatments and will only interfere with a treatment based on antiangiogenesis (preventing the formation of new blood supply, in this case, to tumors). The dietary restrictions are simply to eat good, whole food and avoid supplemental vitamins B12 and E, just for the duration of the treatment. Billy Best also drank Essiac tea, and I also recommend this as a co-therapy.

If what I say is so, then why don't we all know about this? Hmmm....it is estimated that the average cancer patient spills \$50,000 into *Big Pharma* before he or she dies. Maybe I'm just cynical and paranoid, but I think it just *might* have something to do with that. What do you think?

